

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.	PECUS ET AL.
09/960,246	
Examiner	Art Unit
Inder P. Mehra	2617

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(1) Inder P. Mehra, Assistant Examiner. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.(2) David A. Loewenstein, Attorney, Reg. No. 35,591. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.Date of Interview: 23 August 2006

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

As set forth in office action, limitation "capable" should be removed.

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
JOHN PEZZLO  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)